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We construct an entropy function such that statistical inference with respect to a partial measurement and a given a priori distribution is characterized by maximal entropy.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

We discuss the relation between statistical inference and entropy for a system represented by a von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ of bounded operators on a separable Hilbert space. The Hermitian elements of $\mathfrak{A}$ are interpreted as the observables of the system and the normal states on $\mathfrak{A}$ represent physical information. An a priori distribution on $\mathfrak{A}$ is a normal state reflecting the information available prior to any actual measurement; it contains the macroscopic information about the system. A partial measurement is the outcome of a microscopic measurement on a subsystem of $\mathfrak{Q}$; the subsystem is thus represented by a von Neumann subalgebra $\mathfrak{B}$ of $\mathfrak{A}$. The problem of statistical inference is to determine the most likely physical information about the system $\mathscr{U}$ conditioned on a partial measurement on $\mathfrak{O}$ and a given a priori distribution $v$ on $\mathfrak{A}$; this procedure is called ( $\mathfrak{B}, v$ ) inference. Applications to problems in classical and quantum physics may be found in Ref. 1. In this paper we construct the entropy function that characterizes the ( $\mathcal{B}, v$ ) inference as a maximum entropy state.

## 2. PARTIAL MEASUREMENT AND ( $B, v$ ) INFERENCE

Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a von Neumann algebra of bounded operators on a separable Hilbert space $\mathfrak{G} . F(\mathfrak{R})$ stands for the faithful normal states on $\mathfrak{N}, \mathfrak{N}_{+}$for the positive elements in $\mathfrak{R}$, and $J(\mathfrak{R})=\left\{T \in \mathfrak{R}_{+} ; \exists T^{-1} \in \mathfrak{R}_{+}\right\}$.

[^0]Definition 2.1. Let $\varphi, \psi \in F(\mathscr{T})$ and $\lambda, \mu>0 . \varphi$ is $(\lambda, \mu)$ comparable with $\psi$ if $\varphi \leqslant \lambda \psi$ and $\psi \leqslant \mu \varphi$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $\varphi$ be $(\lambda, \mu)$ comparable with $\psi$. Then there is a unique $T \in J(2)$ such that $\varphi(N)=\psi(T N T), \psi(N)=\varphi\left(T^{-1} N T^{-1}\right), \forall N \in \Re$, and $\|T\|^{2} \leqslant \lambda,\left\|T^{-1}\right\|^{2} \leqslant \mu$.

Proof. $\varphi \leqslant \lambda \psi$ implies $\lambda \geqslant 1$ and according to Ref. 2 there is a unique $H_{1} \in \mathfrak{R}_{+}$such that $\varphi(N)=(\lambda \psi)\left(H_{1} N H_{1}\right), 0 \leqslant H_{1} \leqslant 1$. With $T_{1}=\sqrt{\lambda} H_{1}$, we get $\varphi(N)=\psi\left(T_{1} N T_{1}\right), T_{1} \in \mathfrak{2}_{+}, 0 \leqslant T_{1} \leqslant \sqrt{\lambda}$ and $\left\|T_{1}\right\|^{2} \leqslant \lambda$. Similarly, $\psi \leqslant \mu \varphi$ implies the unique existence of $T_{2} \in \gamma_{+}$such that $\psi(N)=\varphi\left(T_{2} N T_{2}\right)$, $\left\|T_{2}\right\|^{2} \leqslant \mu$. We now show that $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ are invertible. Suppose they are not invertible; then they have nontrivial null spaces. Let $P_{1}, P_{2}$ be the projectors onto these subspaces. Since $T_{1}, T_{2} \in \mathfrak{R}_{+}$, it follows that $P_{1}, P_{2} \in \mathfrak{Z}_{+}$. Then $\varphi\left(P_{1}\right)=\psi\left(T_{1} P_{1} T_{1}\right)=0$ and $\psi\left(P_{2}\right)=\varphi\left(T_{2} P_{2} T_{2}\right)=0$. This, however, contradicts the faithfulness of $\varphi$ and $\psi$, meaning that $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ are invertible. Let now

$$
T_{1}=\int_{0}^{\infty} x d E_{1}(x), \quad T_{2}=\int_{0}^{\infty} x d E_{2}(x)
$$

be the spectral representations of $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$.
From

$$
(1 / \lambda) \varphi \leqslant \psi \quad \text { and } \quad(1 / \mu) \psi \leqslant \varphi
$$

we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1 / \lambda) \varphi\left(E_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\right) \leqslant \psi\left(E_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\right) & =\varphi\left(T_{2} E_{2}\left(y_{2}\right) T_{2}\right) \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{2} d \varphi\left(E_{2}(x) E_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\int_{0}^{y_{2}} x^{2} d \varphi\left(E_{2}(x)\right) \leqslant y_{2}^{2} \varphi\left(E_{2}\left(y_{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, $(1 / \mu) \psi\left(E_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)\right) \leqslant y_{1}^{2} \psi\left(E_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)\right)$. This shows that the spectra of $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ are bounded away from zero and hence $T_{1}^{-1}$ and $T_{2}^{-1}$ are bounded. Then $\varphi\left(T_{1}^{-1} N T_{1}^{-1}\right)=\psi(N)$ and $\psi\left(T_{2}^{-1} N T_{2}^{-1}\right)=\varphi(N)$ and the uniqueness of $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ implies $T_{1}=T_{2}^{-1}$ and $T_{2}=T_{1}^{-1}$.

The concept of $(\mathfrak{3}, v)$ inference involves two von Neumann algebras $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}$ with $\mathfrak{B} \subset \mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{H})$, an a priori state $v$ on $\mathfrak{A}$, and a state $w_{\mathfrak{B}}$ on $\mathfrak{B}$ reflecting the outcome of a partial measurement. Without loss of information we assume $v \in F(\mathfrak{U})$ and $w_{\mathfrak{B}} \in F(\mathfrak{B})$, thus avoiding redundant events.

Definition 2.2. Let $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, v, w_{\mathfrak{B}}$ be given such that the restriction $\left.v\right|_{\mathfrak{B}}$ of $v$ to $\mathfrak{B}$ is $(\lambda, \mu)$ comparable with $w_{\mathfrak{B}}$. According to Lemma 2.1, there is a unique $T \in J(\mathfrak{B})$ with

$$
w_{\mathfrak{B}}(B)=\left.v\right|_{\mathfrak{B}}(T B T)=v(T B T), \quad \forall B \in \mathfrak{B}
$$

The $(\mathfrak{B}, v)$ inference $w$ of $w_{\mathfrak{B}}$ is then defined by

$$
w(A)=v(T A T), \quad \forall A \in \mathfrak{A}
$$

Remark 2.1. The $(\mathfrak{B}, v)$ coarse-graining $w$ of $w_{\mathfrak{B}}$ has the following properties:
(a) $w \in F(\mathfrak{Q})$.
(b) $w$ is an extension of $w_{\mathfrak{B}}$.
(c) If $w_{\mathfrak{B}}=\left.v\right|_{\mathfrak{B}}$, then $w=v$.
(d) If $\mathfrak{B}=\{\lambda \cdot 1\}$, then $w=v$.

Remark 2.2. ( $\mathfrak{B}, v$ ) inference on the basis of global a priori information and partial microscopic measurement is compatible with the information contained in $w_{\mathfrak{B}}$ [property (b)] and such that the a priori state $v$ is recovered if either the partial information coincides with the information already contained in $v$ [property (c)] or if no partial measurement is made [property (d)].

## 3. THE ENTROPY CHARACTERIZING (B, v) INFERENCE

Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a von Neumann algebra as before and $v \in F(\mathscr{l})$ an arbitrary a priori state. Let

$$
J_{v}(\mathfrak{A})=\left\{T \in J(\mathfrak{Q}) ; v\left(T^{2}\right)=1\right\}
$$

and

$$
F_{v}(\mathfrak{A})=\left\{w \in F(\mathfrak{A}) ; w(A)=v(T A T), A \in \mathfrak{A}, T \in J_{v}(\mathfrak{A})\right\}
$$

We would like to construct a relative entropy function $H_{v}$ on $J_{v}(\mathfrak{A})$ with the following property: Let $\left(\mathfrak{B}, w_{\mathfrak{B}}\right)$ be an arbitrary partial measurement and $T_{0} \in J(\mathfrak{B})$ correspond to the $(\mathfrak{B}, v)$ inference of $w_{\mathfrak{B}}$. Under variations compatible with the partial measurement, $H_{v}$ should be stationary at $T_{0}$.

Guided by existing information-theoretic entropy functions, we assume for $H_{v}$ the general form

$$
H_{v}(T)=v(F(T))
$$

with $F(T)$ norm-analytic around any $\lambda \cdot 1, \lambda \neq 0$.
Theorem 3.1. Let $v \in F(\mathfrak{R})$ be a nontracial a priori state on $\mathfrak{A}$ (nonAbelian) and ( $\mathfrak{B}, w_{\mathfrak{B}}$ ) an arbitrary partial measurement with $T_{0} \in J(\mathfrak{B})$ corresponding to the $(\mathfrak{B}, v)$ inference.

Let $T(\epsilon)$ be a curve in $J_{v}(\mathfrak{q})$ analytic at $T(0)=T_{0}$ and $F(T)=$ $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{n}(T-\lambda)^{n}$ norm-analytic at $\lambda \cdot 1, \lambda \neq 0$. For variations compatible with the partial measurement, i.e.,

$$
\left.(d / d \epsilon) v(T(\epsilon) B T(\epsilon))\right|_{\epsilon=0}=0, \quad \forall B \in \mathfrak{B}
$$

the condition

$$
(d / d \epsilon) H_{v}(T(\epsilon))=0
$$

implies

$$
C_{n}=0, \quad n \geqslant 3
$$

Proof. Let $T(\epsilon)=T_{0}+\epsilon K+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)$. Then the compatibility condition reads

$$
\left.(d / d \epsilon) v(T(\epsilon) B T(\epsilon))\right|_{\epsilon=0}=v\left(T_{0} B K+K B T_{0}\right)=0, \quad \forall B \in \mathfrak{B}
$$

In particular, for $B=T_{0}^{-1}\left(T_{0}-\lambda\right)^{m}, m \geqslant 0$, we get

$$
v\left(\left(T_{0}-\lambda\right)^{m} K+K\left(T_{0}-\lambda\right)^{m}\right)=0, \quad m \geqslant 0
$$

The extremal property of $H_{v}$ at $T_{0}$ implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{d}{d \epsilon} H_{v}(T(\epsilon))\right|_{\epsilon=0} & =\left.\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{n} \frac{d}{d \epsilon} v\left((T(\epsilon)-\lambda)^{n}\right)\right|_{\epsilon=0} \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} v\left(\left(T_{0}-\lambda\right)^{n-l-1} K\left(T_{0}-\lambda\right)^{l}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the compatibility condition, we get

$$
\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} C_{n} v_{n}=0 \quad \text { where } \quad v_{n}=\sum_{l=1}^{n-2} v\left(\left(T_{0}-\lambda\right)^{n-l-1} K\left(T_{0}-\lambda\right)^{l}\right)
$$

Since these relations have to hold for any partial measurement, we choose $\mathfrak{B}=\{P\}^{\prime \prime}$, where $P$ is an arbitrary nontrivial projector. Then, for suitable real $\lambda$,

$$
T_{0}-\lambda \cdot 1=a P+b(1-P)
$$

for some real $a, b \neq 0$.
The compatibility condition implies

$$
v(K P+P K)=0, \quad v(K)=0
$$

and the extremal property then reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{n} & =\sum_{l=1}^{n-2} v\left(\left[a^{n-l-1} P+b^{n-l-1}(1-P)\right] K\left[a^{l} P+b^{l}(1-P)\right]\right) \\
& =v(P K P) \sum_{l=1}^{n-2}\left(a^{n-1}-2 a^{n-l-1} b^{l}+b^{n-1}\right) \\
& =v(P K P)\left[(n-2)\left(a^{n-1}+b^{n-1}\right)-2 \sum_{l=1}^{n-2} a^{n-l-1} b^{l}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

or, with $x=a / b$,

$$
v_{n}=v(P K P) b^{n-1}\left[(n-2)\left(x^{n-1}+1\right)-2 \sum_{l=1}^{n-2} x^{l}\right]
$$

Then

$$
\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} C_{n} v_{n}=v(P K P) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} x^{k}=0
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{0}=\sum_{n=3}^{\infty}(n-2) C_{n} b^{n-1} \\
& a_{k}=(k-1) C_{k+1} b^{k}-2 \sum_{n=k+2}^{\infty} C_{n} b^{n-1}, \quad k \geqslant 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Varying $w_{\mathfrak{B}}$ implies that we can assume $x$ to range over a compact set in $\mathbb{R}$. Therefore $a_{k}=0, k \geqslant 0$, or equivalently $C_{n}=0, n \geqslant 3$.

Remark 3.1. Since $C_{0}, C_{1}, C_{2}$ are arbitrary, it follows for $v$ nontracial that

$$
H_{v}(T)=C_{0}+C_{1} v(T-\lambda)+C_{2} v\left([T-\lambda]^{2}\right)=a_{0}+a_{1} v(T)
$$

is the most general entropy function. On the other hand, if $v$ is tracial, all $C_{n}$ are arbitrary. The class of admissible entropy functions is then much larger and contains, in particular, the standard form of the entropy.

Definition 3.1. The normalized relative entropy function defined on $J_{v}(\mathscr{Q})$ by

$$
H_{v}(T)=v(T)
$$

is called the $v$-entropy.
Theorem 3.2. Let $\left(\mathfrak{B}, w_{\mathfrak{B}}\right)$ be any partial measurement and $J_{v}\left(\mathscr{A}, \mathfrak{B}, w_{\mathfrak{Y}}\right)=$ $\left\{T \in J_{v}(\mathfrak{A}) ; v(T B T)=w_{\mathfrak{B}}(B), \forall B \in \mathfrak{B}\right\}$ the $T$ 's compatible with the partial measurement. Then the $v$-entropy $H_{v}(T)=v(T)$ restricted to $J_{v}\left(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, w_{\mathfrak{B}}\right)$ has a unique absolute maximum at $T_{0} \in J(\mathfrak{B})$ corresponding to the $(\mathfrak{B}, v)$ inference of $w_{\mathfrak{B}}$.

Proof. Let $T \in J_{v}\left(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, w_{\mathfrak{B}}\right)$. Then

$$
v(T B T)=v\left(T_{0} B T_{0}\right)=w_{\mathfrak{B}}(B)
$$

implies, for $B=T_{0}^{-1} \in J(\mathfrak{B})$,

$$
v\left(T T_{0}^{-1} T\right)=v\left(T_{0}\right)
$$

With

$$
A=T_{0}^{ \pm}, \quad B=T_{0}^{-\frac{1}{2}} T, \quad A B=T
$$

the Schwarz inequality reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
|v(T)|^{2} & =|v(A B)|^{2} \leqslant v\left(A A^{*}\right) v\left(B^{*} B\right) \\
& =v\left(T_{0}\right) v\left(T T_{0}^{-1} T\right) \\
& =\left[v\left(T_{0}\right)\right]^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
v(T) \leqslant v\left(T_{0}\right)
$$

Since $v$ is faithful, the equality holds if $B=\lambda A$ or $T=\lambda T_{0}$, and from $v\left(T^{2}\right)=v\left(T_{0}^{2}\right)=1$ and $T, T_{0}$ positive it follows that $T=T_{0}$.

## 4. EXAMPLE

The simplest nontrivial illustration of a $(\mathfrak{B}, v)$ inference is the quantum mechanical single-spin system. In this case $\mathfrak{A}=\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{y})$ and $\mathfrak{y}$ is two-dimensional. If the system is placed into a magnetic field in the 3-direction, the a priori state $v$ on $\mathfrak{A}$ is the Gibbs state, which has the general form

$$
v(A)=\tau(V A), \quad v=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{0}+\frac{1}{2} a \sigma_{3}
$$

where $\tau$ is the trace, $\sigma_{0}$ and $\sigma_{k}$ are the Pauli matrices, and $V$ is the density matrix corresponding to $v$. Suppose that the partial measurement consists in measuring the 1 -component of the spin:

$$
w_{\mathfrak{B}}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)=b
$$

The measured subalgebra $\mathfrak{B}=\left\{\sigma_{1}\right\}^{\prime \prime}$ consists of the projectors

$$
\mathfrak{B}=\{0, P, 1-P, 1\}, \quad P=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{0}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}
$$

and $w_{\mathfrak{B}}$ assumes on $\mathfrak{B}$ the values $\left\{0, \frac{1}{2}(1+b), \frac{1}{2}(1-b), 1\right\}$. For $T_{0} \in J(\mathfrak{B})$ one obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{0} & =\left[\frac{w_{\mathfrak{B}}(P)}{v(P)}\right]^{1 / 2} P+\left[\frac{w_{\mathfrak{B}}(1-P)}{v(1-P)}\right]^{1 / 2}(1-P) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[(1+b)^{1 / 2}+(1-b)^{1 / 2}\right] \sigma_{0}+\frac{1}{2}\left[(1+b)^{1 / 2}-(1-b)^{1 / 2}\right] \sigma_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the $(\mathfrak{B}, v)$ inference $w_{0}$ of $w_{\mathfrak{F}}$ is

$$
w_{0}(A)=\tau\left(W_{0} A\right), \quad W_{0}=T_{0} V T_{0}=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{0}+\frac{1}{2} b \sigma_{1}+\frac{1}{2} a\left(1-b^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \sigma_{3}
$$

For a general $T \in J_{v}\left(\mathfrak{Q}, \mathfrak{B}, w_{\mathfrak{B}}\right)$ we have

$$
T V T=W=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{0}+\frac{1}{2} b \sigma_{1}+\frac{1}{2} W_{2} \sigma_{2}+\frac{1}{2} W_{3} \sigma_{3}
$$

and it can be verified that within this class of $T$ 's the entropy $H_{v}(T)=v(T)$ has a unique maximum at $T_{0}$.

## 5. DISCUSSION

Within the framework of inference $\left\{\mathfrak{A}, v, \mathfrak{B}, w_{\mathfrak{B}} ; v\right.$ nontracial $\}$ we have constructed a unique entropy function characterizing the coarse-grained state as the maximum entropy state.

If $\mathfrak{A}=\mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $v$ the trace $\tau$, then every $w \in F(\mathfrak{A})$ can be written as $w(A)=\tau(W A)$. In this case our entropy is

$$
H_{\tau}(T)=\tau(T)=\tau(\sqrt{W})
$$

and differs from the standard entropy

$$
\hat{H}_{\tau}(W)=-\tau(W \log W)
$$

For our inference they are, however, equivalent since they lead to the same maximum entropy states. On the other hand, the straightforward extension ${ }^{(3)}$

$$
\hat{H}_{v}(T)=-v\left(T^{2} \log T^{2}\right)
$$

of the standard entropy to the case where $v$ is nontracial does not characterize $(\mathcal{B}, v)$ inference. ${ }^{(4)}$
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